

United States,

v.

Eddie Ray Hall,

Colin Prince 10 North Post Street, Suite 700 Spokane, Washington 99201 509.624.7606 Attorney for Eddie Ray Hall

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

United States District Court Honorable Robert H. Whaley

No. 09-cr-116-RHW

Status Report and Motion to Extend Revocation Hearing

Without Oral Argument December 28, 2020, 6 p.m.

As the Court well knows, Eddie Ray Hall has failed numerous skin-patch drug tests over the last few months, and at a prior telephonic hearing, the Court requested that the parties put their heads together for options to respond. The parties have done that—the defense, government, and Probation have all conferred. Here is a joint suggestion: extend the revocation hearing until the third week of January. Here's why.

First, Mr. Hall just found new housing. As the parties have come to learn, Mr. Hall's original release residence was not what we hoped for. There was another resident, the owner's ex-wife, Heather Martel. Through various channels, the parties have learned that she is using various illegal substances. And the residence itself is surrounded by a not-so-great community.

With that in mind, the first step toward fixing Mr. Hall's drug use is new housing. Last week (approximately December 21st), Mr. Hall borrowed money from family and moved into a new apartment—approved by Probation Officer Evin Hansen. Mr. Hall has been there a few days. Thus, Mr. Hall has already taken a significant corrective step.

Second, Mr. Hall has denied using drugs. And he has an explanation that sounds, candidly, far-fetched. Mr. Hall notified defense counsel and his probation officer that he believed Ms. Martel was spiking his food with illegal drugs to get him removed from the house. That assertion has been met with a modicum of skepticism,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

to put it mildly. And Mr. Hall himself is aware how this claim sounds—like somebody lying to dodge failed drug tests.

Despite the well-warranted skepticism, there are some reasons to pause before dismissing Mr. Hall's claim. On December 21st, defense counsel received a call from a woman claiming to be Ms. Martel's daughter, Darian Martel. Darian stated that her mother, Heather, was a severe alcoholic and drug-user who is extremely abusive towards Mr. Hall, who she regards as usurping her control of the home. During a 45minute in-depth conversation, Darian stated that during a yelling fight with her mother, Heather said (more or less) that "Eddie would soon be gone" because she was putting morphine and other drugs in his food. Darian stated that her mother had admitted this on more than one occasion, and she would sign an affidavit and phone into a court hearing to testify to the same.

Counsel directly asked Darian if Mr. Hall had perhaps coaxed her into presenting this story. Darian assured counsel it was true; she is not in a romantic relationship with Mr. Hall, nor is she particularly friends with him. Her concern was merely that Mr. Hall was the victim of her mother's scheme.

There are other reasons to pause. Before the revelations about Heather Martel, Mr. Hall was so adamant that the patches were faulty that he actually volunteered for a hair-follicle drug test—indeed, it was his idea. The defense found a test facility, and

Mr. Hall promptly took the test. He failed it. Nonetheless, volunteering to take additional tests is inconsistent with someone knowingly using substances.

Moreover, Mr. Hall has been faultlessly communicative with defense counsel and Probation. He remains in at least weekly contact (and typically more). He appears for all his drug tests and classes. He abides his home detention. Officer Hansen informed counsel that he does not believe Mr. Hall poses a risk to the public—he is abiding every condition save the failed tests. None of that is consistent with Mr. Hall actively using substances.

Third, there is an easy way to get to the bottom of Mr. Hall's failed drug-tests: wait three weeks. Mr. Hall receives a new drug-patch every two weeks; the next one on December 30th. That patch will be removed on January 14th, and with a few days turnaround for testing, the Court should have results by approximately January 20th. If Mr. Hall tests negative, then the Court will know either that Ms. Martel was in fact dosing Mr. Hall or merely moving Mr. Hall out of the residence corrected his drug use. If Mr. Hall tests positive, then the Court will know additional corrective action is warranted—perhaps in-patient treatment or a halfway house.

Fourth, the government agrees that extending the revocation hearing for a few weeks is appropriate. Mr. Goeke conferred directly with Officer Hansen, and everyone is on the same page: we'd like to see how Mr. Hall does with a new residence. And we

should know whether the new residence corrects Mr. Hall's issues after the next drug-1 patch is tested on January 14th. 2 * * * 3 To be clear, Mr. Hall is not asking the Court to cancel the hearing set for 4 tomorrow, December 29th. The parties believe the hearing should go forward; we can 5 answer any questions the Court may have; and the Court can address Mr. Hall himself. 6 Mr. Hall files this brief simply so the Court is well apprised before the hearing. 7 Dated: December 28, 2020. 8 Federal Defenders of Eastern Washington & Idaho 9 Attorneys for Eddie Ray Hall 10 s/Colin G. Prince Colin G. Prince, WSBA No. 43166 11 10 North Post Street, Suite 700 Spokane, Washington 99201 12 t: (509) 624-7606 f: (509) 747-3539 13 Colin Prince@fd.org 14 15 16 17 18 19

SERVICE CERTIFICATE

I certify that on Eddie Ray Hall, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System, which will notify Assistant United States Attorneys: James Goeke.

s/Colin G. Prince
Colin G. Prince, WSBA No. 43166
10 North Post Street, Suite 700
Spokane, Washington 99201
t: (509) 624-7606
f: (509) 747-3539
Colin Prince@fd.org